## Interview Summary

=

Application No. 09/531,120

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Arun Chakrabarti

Art Unit **1634** 

Preuss



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO pe	
(1) Arun Chakrabarti	(3) Greg Copenhaver (4) Daphne Preuss
(2) Bob Barret	(4) Daphne Procuss
Date of Interview May 7, 2003	
Type: a)   Telephonic b)   Video Conference c)   Personal [copy is given to 1)   applicant 2)  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)   Yes e)	(6) Ngyuen Yeung (7) Maich Hein  applicant's representative] (8) Eric Ginsburg  No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: All	
Identification of prior art discussed:  All	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:  Applicant was explained the basis of the written description and enablement rejection. Applicant will be considered.  The allowable claims if worten in lindefendent form.	
available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	y of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record o	section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Hrom kro. Chakroabaroh.  Examiner's signature, if required